

Approved
at a meeting of the editorial board
OSU named after I.S. Turgenev
Editorial Board Chairman
_____ A.A. Fedotov

Guidelines
for the materials review coming to the editorial department of the journal «
«Modern society and law»»

1. All materials sent to the editorial office and corresponding to the subject matter of the publication must be drawn up in accordance with the "Requirements for Manuscripts of Articles Received by the Editorial Board..." and provided in electronic form to the address of the Deputy Editor-in-Chief. Upon receipt of the electronic version of the article, the Deputy Editor-in-Chief notifies the author of this by e-mail.

2. All materials submitted to the editorial office are reviewed for the purpose of their expert evaluation by at least two reviewers.

3. As reviewers of materials submitted for publication in the journal "Modern Society and Law", recognized experts on the subject of peer-reviewed materials and who have published on the subject of the reviewed article over the past three years are involved, while the editors of the journal guarantee the anonymity of reviewers.

4. Reviewer should estimate coming materials according to the following criteria:

- relevance and matching of material to subject of the journal;
- originality, scientific level and degree of scientific novelty of the results being represented for the publication, their scientific importance and practical value;
- advantages and disadvantages of the content and reporting format - specific recommendations on refinement or reduction of material;
- opportunity (or impossibility) of publishing of reviewed material in the journal « Modern Society and Law».

5. The review on the paper medium (two copies) and in electronic form are sent to the editorial office of the journal within timeframes set by the editorial board.

6. When reviews of the material under consideration are received by the editorial board, a member of the editorial board responsible for the corresponding section of the journal gets acquainted with it, who then presents the material under consideration together with the review at a meeting of the editorial board, where a decision is made on its publication or rejection.

7. Further work with the material accepted for publication is carried out by the editorial staff in accordance with the technological process of publication.

8. In case of a positive decision on publication, the author can be notified upon request about the number of the journal in which his article will be published and receive copies of reviews. Reviews are stored in the editorial archive for 5 years. The editors undertake to send copies of reviews to the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation upon receipt of a corresponding request by the editors of the publication.

9. Materials to be improved are sent by the editorial office to the authors along with the text of the review containing specific recommendations for improvement.

10. The materials after refinement are sent to the reviewer for the additional reviewing. The reviewer must present the next version of the review within the established deadlines whereby the editorial board makes the decision about its publication or rejection.

11. When rejected, the editorial board sends to authors the notice with the formulation: "It is rejected according to the solution of the editorial board of the journal" with short reasoning, for example, "the scientific review doesn't correspond to subject of the journal", "article didn't pass on competition", etc.

Editor in Chief "Modern Society and Law"

A.L. Pashin

REVIEW
of an article

Author(s) _____

Title _____

Presented for publishing in the journal
"Modern Society and Law "

In the review conceptual issues of article have to be commented, generalized reasoned assessment have to be given and conclusions have to be drawn on the scientific importance of work and possibility of its publication in the journal Modern Society and Law.

In the review the following aspects are described:

- matching of material to subject of the journal;
- problem statement in common; - statement of a problem in a general view;
- allocation of unresolved parts of a common problem;
- statement of research results;
- sequence (logicality) of the statement;
- article structuring (sections "Introduction", several internal sections, "Conclusion", "The list of the used literature");
- literacy of the statement;
- compactness and presentation of illustrative material;
- competent use of scientific terms;
- originality and novelty of results of researches;
- theoretical and practical value of work.

Conclusion:

- a) to recommend an article for publication in the journal « Modern Society and Law »;
- b) to recommend an article for publication in the journal after refinement
- c) not recommend an article for the publication (the reason: discrepancy to subject of the journal, absence of scientific and applied results, etc.)

Reviewer _____

(science degree, academic rank , full name, personal signature)

«_____» _____ 20__